

Homogeneous Nucleation in Inhomogeneous Media II: Nucleation in a Shear Flow

David Reguera*

*Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, UCLA,
607 Charles E. Young East Drive, Los Angeles, CA 90095-1569*

J. M. Rubí†

Department of Chemistry, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, 7491 Trondheim, Norway

We investigate the influence of a shear flow on the process of nucleation. Mesoscopic nonequilibrium thermodynamics is used to derive the Fokker-Planck equation governing the evolution of the cluster size distribution in a metastable phase subjected to a stationary flow. The presence of the flow manifests itself in the expression for the effective diffusion coefficient of a cluster and introduces modifications in the nucleation rate. The implications of these results in condensation and polymer crystallization are discussed.

I. INTRODUCTION

In Paper I¹ of this series we used mesoscopic nonequilibrium thermodynamics (MNET) to analyze the effect of the inhomogeneities of the medium on nucleation. Another interesting case in which the medium may exert an influence on the nucleation process arises from the presence of flows or stresses in the system. This factor is specially relevant in crystallization, which often involves mechanical processing of the melt, such as extrusion, shearing or injection that may affect the crystallization process drastically. Therefore it is desirable to implement a model that takes these mechanical influences into account in a correct and consistent way. Several models have been proposed to capture some features of phase transitions under shear flow² but until now, there has been no theory providing a complete description of crystallization under these conditions.

The objective in this paper will be to analyze precisely the possible effects of mechanical stress in nucleation and crystallization, focusing on the simplest influence. To that end, we discuss the case of nucleation in the presence of a stationary flow, which for simplicity is chosen to be a shear flow. MNET^{3,4} will be used to derive the kinetic equation governing the dynamics of nucleation in the presence of a shear flow, whose influence on vapor-phase nucleation and polymer crystallization will be evaluated qualitatively.

It is worth pointing out that the presence of the flow destroys the isotropy of the system, and leads to a distinction between diffusion in different directions. Moreover, it introduces spatial inhomogeneities, which induce spatial, and velocity and temperature fluxes. Coupling between these currents may be very important, and consequently the evolution of the probability density, velocity and temperature fields will be governed by a highly coupled set of kinetic differential equations. These equations can be derived within the general framework of MNET^{3,4}, following the steps developed in paper I of this series¹. For illustrative purposes, it is best to restrict the analysis to effects originating purely from the presence of the flow. Consequently, for the sake of simplicity, we assume isothermal conditions.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section II, we derive the Fokker-Planck equation governing the evolution of the size and velocity distributions of the emerging clusters in the presence of stationary shear flow. In Section III, we simplify the description, focusing on the diffusion regime. We thus obtain the kinetic equation governing nucleation in the presence of a stationary flow. The implications of our results for nucleation experiments and in polymer crystallization are discussed briefly in Section IV. Finally, we summarize our main conclusions in Section V.

II. NUCLEATION IN A STATIONARY FLOW

Consider a metastable phase in which the emerging clusters (liquid droplets or crystallites) are embedded. Assume that this phase acts as a heat bath imposing a constant temperature on the system. Following the scheme developed in Paper I¹, the goal of this section is the derivation of the kinetic equation that describes nucleation in the presence of a shear flow. For this purpose, we will work within the framework of MNET. To account for spatial inhomogeneities, we perform a local description in terms of $f(n, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, t)/N$, the probability density of finding a cluster of $n \in (n, n + dn)$ molecules at position $\mathbf{x} \in (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} + d\mathbf{x})$, with velocity $\mathbf{u} \in (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u} + d\mathbf{u})$, at time t .

The starting point is the Gibbs equation for the entropy variation of this system with respect to a reference state. In this situation, the reference state is a stationary one characterized by a steady shear flow velocity profile $\mathbf{v}_0(\mathbf{x})$.

Assuming local equilibrium, the entropy variation is given by the Gibbs equation (see Appendix I) as

$$T\rho\delta s = \rho\delta e + p\rho\delta\rho^{-1} - \rho \int \mu\delta c dnd\mathbf{u}. \quad (1)$$

Here $s(\mathbf{x}, t)$ and $e(\mathbf{x}, t)$ are the local entropy and energy per cluster, respectively, $p(\mathbf{x}, t)$ is the hydrostatic pressure, $\rho(\mathbf{x}, t)$ is the total number of clusters per unit of volume, $\mu(n, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, t)$ is a non-equilibrium chemical potential, and $c(n, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, t) = f(n, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, t)/\rho$ is the number fraction of clusters. Eq. (1) remains valid within an element of mass following the center of mass motion of the cluster ‘gas’⁵. The explicit expression for the chemical potential can be obtained, as in paper I, by comparison of the Gibbs equation (1) with the Gibbs’ entropy postulate⁵, yielding

$$\mu(n, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{x}, t) = k_B T \ln \frac{f}{f_{leq}} + \mu_{leq}(\mathbf{x}), \quad (2)$$

where $\mu_{leq}(\mathbf{x})$ denotes the local equilibrium chemical potential, which is independent of the n and $\mathbf{u}$, and f_{leq} is the local equilibrium distribution function corresponding to the reference state, a function given by

$$f_{leq}(n, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{x}) = \exp \left(\frac{\mu_{leq} - C(n, u)}{k_B T} \right). \quad (3)$$

The quantity $C(n, \mathbf{u})$ denotes the free energy of formation of a state described by the internal variables $(n, \mathbf{u})$, and is given by

$$C(n, \mathbf{u}) = \Delta G(n) + \frac{1}{2}m(n)(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}_0)^2, \quad (4)$$

where $\Delta G(n)$ represents again the energy of formation of a cluster of size n *at rest* and the second term is its kinetic energy with respect to the steady state velocity profile $\mathbf{v}_0$.

The next step toward obtaining the Fokker-Planck equation, is the formulation of the conservation laws for the ‘gas’ of clusters. In the absence of external body forces, the distribution function obeys the following continuity equation

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} = -\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla f = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{u}} \cdot \mathbf{J}_u - \frac{\partial J_n}{\partial n}, \quad (5)$$

which introduces the phase space current $\mathbf{J}_u$, arising from the interaction of the clusters with the surrounding metastable phase.

In order to derive the entropy balance equation, we also need the expression for the variation of energy with respect to its value at the stationary state. The presence of the external flow is responsible for the appearance in that equation of a term for ‘viscous heating’, that gives rise to variations in the temperature field. To maintain isothermal conditions, and following ideas introduced previously in the implementation of the so-called ‘homogeneous shear’^{6,7}, we assume the existence of a local heat source capable of removing heat generated in the process. Under this assumption, the energy of a volume element remains constant along its trajectory so that its balance equation can be omitted in the following analysis.

Introducing into the Gibbs equation (1) the equation for number fraction

$$\rho \frac{dc}{dt} = -\nabla \cdot f(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}_0) - \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{u}} \cdot \mathbf{J}_u - \frac{\partial J_n}{\partial n}, \quad (6)$$

derived in Appendix II, we obtain, after some straightforward algebraic manipulations, the entropy balance equation

$$\rho \frac{ds}{dt} = -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{J}_s + \sigma, \quad (7)$$

where the entropy flux $\mathbf{J}_s$ is given by

$$\mathbf{J}_s = -k_B \int f(\ln f - 1)(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}_0) dnd\mathbf{u} - \frac{1}{T} \int C(n, \mathbf{u}) f(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}_0)^2 dnd\mathbf{u}, \quad (8)$$

and the entropy production, σ , which must be positive according to the second law of thermodynamics, is

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma = & -\frac{1}{T} \int \mathbf{J}_u \cdot \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial \mathbf{u}} dnd\mathbf{u} - \frac{1}{T} \int J_n \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial n} dnd\mathbf{u} \\ & - \frac{1}{T} \int f(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}_0) \cdot \nabla \left(\frac{1}{2} m(n)(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}_0)^2 \right) dnd\mathbf{u}. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

In order to obtain the entropy flux we have additionally employed the identity

$$\int \nabla \cdot ((\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}_0)f) \ln f dnd\mathbf{u} = \nabla \cdot \int (\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}_0)f(\ln f - 1) dnd\mathbf{u} - \rho \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_0, \quad (10)$$

and have omitted, in the entropy production, the term $-(k_B \rho - \frac{\rho}{T}) \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_0$ arising from the bulk viscosity, which, in general, is negligibly small.

The entropy production can be interpreted as a sum of conjugate forces-fluxes pairs. In the present situation, the forces are $-\frac{1}{T} \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial \mathbf{u}}$, $-\frac{1}{T} \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial n}$, and $-\frac{1}{T} \nabla \left(\frac{1}{2} m(n)(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}_0)^2 \right)$ while their conjugated fluxes are the velocity flux $\mathbf{J}_u$, the flux in size space J_n , and the relative spatial current $\mathbf{J}_x \equiv f(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}_0)$, respectively.

The next step is the formulation of coupled linear phenomenological equations relating these fluxes and forces. The situation under consideration presents some subtleties. Spatial and velocity currents have the same tensorial rank (they are both vectors) which implies that, in general, they must be coupled. Moreover, the presence of shear flow destroys the isotropy of the system by introducing a special direction. Consequently, in general, phenomenological coefficients are expected to be tensors. In addition, locality in the internal space will again be assumed. Taking these considerations into account, the expressions for the currents are

$$\mathbf{J}_x = +\frac{m}{T} \mathcal{L}_{xx} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v}_0 \cdot (\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}_0) + \frac{1}{T} \mathcal{L}_{ux} \cdot \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial \mathbf{u}}, \quad (11)$$

$$\mathbf{J}_u = -\frac{1}{T} \mathcal{L}_{uu} \cdot \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial \mathbf{u}} + \frac{m}{T} \mathcal{L}_{ux} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v}_0 \cdot (\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}_0), \quad (12)$$

$$J_n = -\frac{1}{T} L_{nn} \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial n}, \quad (13)$$

where we have used the Onsager reciprocal relation

$$\mathcal{L}_{ux} = -\mathcal{L}_{xu}, \quad (14)$$

as well as the result

$$\nabla \left(\frac{1}{2} m(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}_0)^2 \right) = -m \nabla \mathbf{v}_0 \cdot (\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}_0). \quad (15)$$

It is useful to redefine the phenomenological coefficients in a more convenient way. By identifying $D_n \equiv \frac{k_B L_{nn}}{f}$ as the diffusion coefficient in n -space, which in turn can be identified with $k^+(n)$, the rate of attachment of monomers to a cluster, while introducing the *friction tensors* $\overrightarrow{\alpha} = \frac{m \mathcal{L}_{uu}}{fT}$ and $\overrightarrow{\zeta} = \frac{m \mathcal{L}_{ux}}{fT}$ as well as the explicit form of the chemical potential, equation (2), the currents $\mathbf{J}_u$ and J_n can be written as

$$\mathbf{J}_u = -f \left[\overrightarrow{\alpha} + \overrightarrow{\zeta} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v}_0 \right] \cdot (\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}_0) - \overrightarrow{\alpha} \frac{k_B T}{m} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{u}}, \quad (16)$$

$$J_n = -D_n \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial n} + \frac{1}{k_B T} \frac{\partial C}{\partial n} f \right). \quad (17)$$

Introduction of these expressions for the currents into the continuity equation yields

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} = & -\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla f + \frac{\partial}{\partial n} \left[D_n \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial n} + \frac{1}{k_B T} \frac{\partial C}{\partial n} f \right) \right] + \\ & + \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{u}} \cdot \left(\left[\vec{\alpha} + \vec{\zeta} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v}_0 \right] \cdot f(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}_0) + \vec{\alpha} \frac{k_B T}{m} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{u}} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

which is the Fokker-Planck equation describing the evolution of the inhomogeneous density distribution of clusters in the presence of steady flow.

III. BALANCE EQUATIONS IN THE DIFFUSION REGIME

The Fokker-Planck equation, Eq. (18), we have derived retains information concerning the evolution of the cluster velocity distribution. Although the movement of clusters may play a significant role in the nucleation process, as discussed in Ref.⁸, velocity dependences can hardly be measured because velocity distribution relaxes to equilibrium so rapidly. Therefore, as discussed in Paper I, a simplified description of the process can be provided, by coarse-graining the dependence on the velocity variables. The relevant quantities are then the different moments of the distribution function, namely the density of clusters

$$f_c(n, \mathbf{x}, t) = \int f(n, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, t) d\mathbf{u}, \quad (19)$$

the coarse-grained velocity of the clusters

$$\mathbf{v}_c(n, \mathbf{x}, t) = f_c^{-1} \int \mathbf{u} f d\mathbf{u}, \quad (20)$$

and the second moment

$$\mathcal{P} = \int f(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}_c)(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}_c) d\mathbf{u}, \quad (21)$$

which is related to the kinetic definition of the pressure tensor^{5,9,10}.

Proceeding along the lines of Section III of Paper I, integration of Eq. (18) over velocities leads to the corresponding balance equations, namely

$$\frac{\partial f_c}{\partial t} = -\nabla \cdot f_c \mathbf{v}_c - \frac{\partial}{\partial n} \int J_n d\mathbf{u}, \quad (22)$$

for the density of clusters in n-space,

$$f_c \frac{d\mathbf{v}_c}{dt} = -\nabla \cdot \mathcal{P} - \mathcal{B} \cdot f_c(\mathbf{v}_c - \mathbf{v}_0) - \int (\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}_c) \frac{\partial}{\partial n} J_n d\mathbf{u}, \quad (23)$$

for the momentum, and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{P} = & -\nabla \cdot \mathcal{Q} - 2(\mathcal{P} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v}_c)^s - \mathcal{P} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_c - 2(\mathcal{B} \cdot \mathcal{P})^s - \frac{2k_B T}{m} f_c \left(\vec{\alpha} \right)^s \\ & - \int (\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}_c)(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}_c) \frac{\partial}{\partial n} J_n d\mathbf{u}, \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

for the pressure tensor, where $\mathcal{Q}$ is, as in paper I¹, the kinetic part of the heat flux and $\mathcal{B} \equiv \vec{\alpha} + \vec{\zeta} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v}_0$.

A remarkable feature of these equations is the fact that the balance equation for the pressure tensor, Eq. (24), is similar to the one obtained for the thirteen moment approximation to the Boltzmann equation¹⁰. It is thus worth pointing out that the mesoscopic nonequilibrium thermodynamic treatment of the problem has been able to

recover results similar to those of kinetic theory. In particular, inertial regimes and relaxation equations for the main quantities can be obtained within this framework. This result reinforces the fact that application of the well-established postulates of non-equilibrium thermodynamics as indicated in Ref.⁵, suffices to provide a general scheme under which non-equilibrium processes of both macroscopic and mesoscopic nature can be treated.

The second term on the right hand side of the momentum balance equation can be identified with the frictional force per unit mass exerted on the cluster suspended in the host fluid. $\mathcal{B}$ plays the role of the friction tensor. The modulus of this tensor again determines the characteristic time scale for the relaxation of the velocities. In general, such a friction tensor can be calculated from hydrodynamics; however, for the sake of simplicity, we approximate this tensor by $\mathcal{B} \simeq \beta \mathbf{1}$, the Stokes diagonal friction. This identification leads to $\vec{\alpha} = \beta \mathbf{1} - \vec{\zeta} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v}_0$.

The inverse of the friction coefficient β^{-1} again shows the separation of the dynamics of the system into a short-time inertial regime and the longer time diffusional regime. For times $t > \beta^{-1}$ the system enters the diffusional regime. In this situation, inertial effects manifested through the presence of the time derivative $\frac{d\mathbf{v}_c}{dt}$ can be neglected. Moreover, the contribution arising from the current J_n in the velocity relaxation can be neglected since the rate of relaxation of velocities is usually faster than that of cluster sizes determined by D_n .

The results derived thus far are valid for any stationary flow profile $\mathbf{v}_0$. However, to proceed further, and for the sake of simplicity, we will focus on the particular interesting case of a shear flow.

Proceeding along the lines indicated in Paper I and in Ref.¹¹, we find that, in the diffusion regime, the expression for the pressure tensor with a shear flow present, is

$$\mathcal{P} = \frac{k_B T}{m} f_c \left[\mathbf{1} - \left(\beta^{-1} \left(\mathbf{1} + \vec{\zeta} \right) \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v}_0 \right)^s \right]. \quad (25)$$

From this equation, we conclude that Brownian motion of the particles contributes to the total pressure tensor of the suspension in two ways. The first contribution is the well-known scalar kinetic pressure given by

$$p = \frac{k_B T}{m} f_c, \quad (26)$$

which is the equation of state for an ideal ‘gas’ of clusters. The second contribution comes from the irreversible part Π^0 of the pressure tensor, a part that can be written in the form

$$\Pi^0 = -D_0 f_c \left[\left(\mathbf{1} + \vec{\zeta} \right) \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v}_0 \right]^0, \quad (27)$$

where $D_0 = \frac{k_B T}{m\beta}$ is the diffusion coefficient of the cluster for the liquid at rest, and the superscript 0 indicates a symmetric traceless tensor. This last equation defines the Brownian viscosity tensor

$$\vec{\eta}_B = D_0 f_c (\mathbf{1} + \vec{\zeta}), \quad (28)$$

which contains the ‘‘Brownian viscosity’’ $D_0 f_c^{12}$, and the contribution due to coupling with the non-equilibrium bath, proportional to $\vec{\zeta}$.

Inserting the expression for the pressure tensor in the velocity balance equation (23), and taking the diffusion limit for the cluster current we obtain

$$\mathbf{J}_D = f_c \mathbf{v}_c = -\mathcal{D} \cdot \nabla f_c + f_c \mathbf{v}_0 \quad (29)$$

where

$$\mathcal{D} = D_0 \left[\mathbf{1} - \left(\beta^{-1} \left(\mathbf{1} + \vec{\zeta} \right) \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v}_0 \right)^0 \right] \quad (30)$$

can be identified with the *spatial* diffusion coefficient, which in this situation possesses tensorial character. Using Eqs. (28) and (26), $\mathcal{D}$ can be rewritten as

$$\mathcal{D} = D_0 \left[\mathbf{1} - \left(\frac{\vec{\eta}_B}{p} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v}_0 \right)^0 \right]. \quad (31)$$

Inserting this expression into the equation for the density, Eq. (22), one finally obtains

$$\frac{\partial f_c}{\partial t} = -\nabla \cdot (f_c \mathbf{v}_0) + \nabla \cdot (\mathcal{D} \cdot \nabla f_c) + \frac{\partial}{\partial n} \left(D_n \frac{\partial f_c}{\partial n} + \frac{D_n}{k_B T} \frac{\partial \widetilde{\Delta G}}{\partial n} f_c \right), \quad (32)$$

which is the kinetic equation for nucleation in presence of a shear flow in the *diffusion regime*, and where, as discussed in Paper I, $\widetilde{\Delta G}(n)$ is the effective nucleation barrier in the diffusion regime given by

$$\widetilde{\Delta G}(n) = \Delta G(n) + \frac{3}{2} k_B T \ln n, \quad (33)$$

IV. INFLUENCE OF SHEAR FLOW IN NUCLEATION AND CRYSTALLIZATION

In this section, we analyze the conditions under which mechanical stress that cause a shear flow in the system, may influence the nucleation process. Obviously, a shear rate modifies transport and results in alterations in density, pressure and temperature profiles. To isolate the influence of mechanical stress, heat and mass transfer will be neglected. The focus will be on the direct effect of flow on nucleation rate, in both condensation and crystallization, with special emphasis on polymer crystallization.

A. Influence on Condensation

As shown in the previous section, an important influence of flow on nucleation is exercised through its effect on the diffusion coefficient as exhibited by Eq. (31). Typically, nucleation rates J are given by^{13,14}

$$J \sim k^+(n^*) e^{-\frac{\widetilde{\Delta G}(n^*)}{k_B T}}, \quad (34)$$

where $k^+(n)$ is the rate of attachment of molecules to a n -cluster, and $\widetilde{\Delta G}(n^*)$ is the height of the nucleation barrier (i.e. the free energy of formation of the critical cluster of size n^*). The significance of the modification of the diffusion coefficient lies in the fact that the rate of attachment of molecules to the nucleus, k^+ , and thus the pre-exponential factor in the nucleation rate, Eq. (34), is roughly proportional to the diffusivity of molecules¹⁵. The fact that the diffusion coefficient could be altered by the flow implies that the nucleation rate is modified accordingly. The modification, prescribed by Eq. (31), depends on the shear rate, the pressure and the viscosity, and its magnitude is expected to be important when

$$\frac{\eta}{p} |\nabla \mathbf{v}_0| \geq 1, \quad (35)$$

i.e., for high enough values of viscosity, and shear rate, and for low pressures.

To be more precise, let us estimate the magnitude of the correction for a typical experiment in a laminar flow diffusion cloud chamber¹⁶. Representative values of the shear rates, the pressure and the viscosity in these experiments are $\nabla v_0 \sim 10^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$, $p \sim 10^4 \text{ dyn/cm}^2$, and $\eta \sim 10^{-4} \text{ poise}$. These numbers yield an approximate value of 10^{-5} for the order of magnitude of the correction introduced by the shear. Consequently, in this range of values, neither diffusion nor the nucleation rate are significantly altered by shear.

B. Influence in Polymer Crystallization

Whereas in condensation under normal conditions, the modification of the diffusion coefficient due to the shear flow is in general negligible, this is not the case in crystallization and specially in polymer crystallization. The presence of flow affects crystallization in different ways. On the one hand, nucleation rates can be directly modified by flow. Typical values of shear and injection rates, and of the mechanical stress applied to a polymer melt during crystallization are usually rather large. In addition, the viscosity of the supercooled melt can also be very large,

specially near the glass transition. In this situation, the adjustment of the diffusion coefficient, given by Eq. (31), can be very significant and thus modify the nucleation rate dramatically.

On the other hand, the symmetry breaking inherent in the flow causes the diffusion coefficient to no longer be a scalar and to develop a *tensorial* nature. The rate of the process then depends on direction so that nucleation, and specially the crystal growth, are no longer isotropic.

Finally, another important factor to be considered is that flow also induces stress on the surface of the cluster that may fragment droplets that become large enough^{17,18}. This effect can be easily incorporated into our description by including in the free energy of cluster formation the additional energetic cost associated with the shear stress.

V. CONCLUSIONS

The main objective of this paper has been the analysis of the kinetics of nucleation and crystallization when the metastable phase is subjected to a flow resulting from application of mechanical stress. Using MNET, the kinetic equation that describes the evolution of the cluster size distribution in a stationary flow was derived. For simplicity, our results were specialized to the case of a shear flow, and its influence on condensation and crystallization was evaluated.

The main effects that a shear flow exerts on nucleation can be summarized as follows. First, such flow alters transport and consequently the evolution of the cluster distribution function, that among other things, determines nucleation and growth rates. Second, flow changes the cluster spatial diffusion coefficient in accordance with equation (30). Typical values of the parameters controlling this adjustment indicate that the effect is not very important in condensation. However, high viscosity and other peculiarities of polymer crystallization suggest that a shear may promote drastic changes in the process. The formalism developed in this paper can help to explain experimental results of polymer crystallization under shear¹⁹. However, quantitative prediction is limited, for the moment, by the unavailability of the magnitudes of the physical parameters required in calculation. Measurement of these parameters has to be performed before a complete characterization of the effect of flow, using our theory, on the kinetics of polymer crystallization can be explicitly evaluated.

As indicated, the main object of this paper has been the analysis of the effects that flow by itself may induce on the nucleation process. For this purpose, isothermal conditions were assumed. A more complete and more realistic treatment that models mechanical processing of the melt may be carried out by incorporating thermal effects and their coupling to the shear flow, in the manner discussed in Paper I.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to acknowledge Prof. H. Reiss for his comments and his careful revision of the manuscript, and I. Santamaria-Holek for valuable discussions. This work has been partially supported by the National Science Foundation under NSF grant No. CHE-0076384, and by DGICYT of the Spanish Government under grant PB2002-01267.

Appendix A

Local Thermodynamic Relations

The Gibbs equation for a multicomponent thermodynamic system is⁵

$$T\delta S = \delta E + p\delta V - \sum \mu\delta N. \quad (36)$$

In the continuous limit this expression becomes

$$T\delta S = \delta E + p\delta V - \int \mu\delta N(n, \mathbf{u}) dnd\mathbf{u}, \quad (37)$$

where different values of n and $\mathbf{u}$ denote the equivalent of different “species”, and $N(n, \mathbf{u})dnd\mathbf{u}$ represents the number of particles of a given “species”.

Now construct the local version of Eq. (37). For this purpose, we will describe the system in terms of $f(n, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, t)$, the number density of clusters of size $n \in (n, n + dn)$ at $\mathbf{x} \in (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} + d\mathbf{x})$, having velocity $\mathbf{u} \in (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u} + d\mathbf{u})$, at time t . We define the specific thermodynamic quantities s , e , v , and c as follows

$$S = \int s\rho d\mathbf{x} \quad (38)$$

$$E = \int e\rho d\mathbf{x} \quad (39)$$

$$V = \int 1d\mathbf{x} = \int v\rho d\mathbf{x} \quad (40)$$

$$N(n, \mathbf{u}) = \int f d\mathbf{x} = \int c\rho d\mathbf{x} \quad (41)$$

where $\rho(\mathbf{x}, t) = \int f dnd\mathbf{u}$ is the number density of clusters per unit volume at $\mathbf{x}$, while $v = \rho^{-1}$, and $c = \frac{f}{\rho}$.

Using these quantities, the local expression of the Gibbs equation becomes

$$T\delta(\rho s) = \delta(\rho e) + p\delta(1) - \int \mu\delta f dnd\mathbf{u}, \quad (42)$$

which is the form used in Paper I.

Alternatively, this equation can be written as

$$T\rho\delta s - \rho\delta e - p\rho\delta\rho^{-1} + \rho \int \mu\delta c dnd\mathbf{u} + \left(Ts - e - p\rho^{-1} + \int \mu c dnd\mathbf{u} \right) \delta\rho = 0, \quad (43)$$

which, using the definition of the Gibbs energy to cancel the last term, reduces to

$$T\rho\delta s = \rho\delta e + p\rho\delta\rho^{-1} - \rho \int \mu\delta c dnd\mathbf{u}. \quad (44)$$

which is the expression employed in the present paper.

Appendix B

Balance Equation for the Number Fraction

Integration of Eq. (5) with respect to the cluster velocity $\mathbf{u}$ and size n , leads to the macroscopic equation of continuity, which can be written in the form

$$\frac{d\rho}{dt} = -\rho \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}, \quad (45)$$

where $\rho(\mathbf{x}, t)$ is the density of the cluster ‘gas’, given by

$$\rho(\mathbf{x}, t) = \int f \, dn d\mathbf{u}. \quad (46)$$

In Eq. (45), $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ is the average velocity of the Brownian particles defined by the expression

$$\rho \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}, t) = \int \mathbf{u} f \, dn d\mathbf{u}, \quad (47)$$

and where the “total derivative” is

$$\frac{d}{dt} \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla. \quad (48)$$

Using the continuity equation, Eq. (5), and Eq. (45), one obtains the equation for the number fraction

$$\rho \frac{dc}{dt} = \frac{df}{dt} - \frac{f}{\rho} \frac{d\rho}{dt} = -\nabla \cdot f(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}) - \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{u}} \cdot \mathbf{J}_u - \frac{\partial J_n}{\partial n}. \quad (49)$$

Under the assumption of local equilibrium, the average velocity $\mathbf{v}$ coincides with the velocity of the reference state, i.e. $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}_0$, thus leading to Eq. (6).

List of References

-
- * Electronic address: davidr@chem.ucla.edu
- † Permanent address: Departament de Física Fonamental, Universitat de Barcelona, Diagonal 647, 08028 Barcelona, Spain
- ¹ D. Reguera, J. M. Rubí, previous paper.
 - ² For a review see: A. Onuki, J. Phys. Cond. Matt. **9**, 6119 (1997).
 - ³ D. Reguera and J.M. Rubí, Phys. Rev. E **64**, 061106 (2001).
 - ⁴ A. Pérez-Madrid, J.M. Rubí, and P. Mazur, Physica A **212**, 231 (1994).
 - ⁵ S.R. de Groot and P. Mazur, *Non – Equilibrium Thermodynamics*, (Dover, New York, 1984).
 - ⁶ W.T. Ashurst and W.G. Hoover, Phys. Rev. A **11**, 658 (1975).
 - ⁷ E. Peakock-Lopez and J. Keizer, Phys. Lett. **108**, 85 (1985).
 - ⁸ D. Reguera and J.M. Rubí, J. Chem. Phys. **115**, 7100 (2001).
 - ⁹ S. Chapman and T.G. Cowling, *The Mathematical Theory of Non-Uniform Gases*, (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1970).
 - ¹⁰ J.O. Hirschfelder, C.F. Curtiss, and R.B. Bird, *Molecular Theory of Gases and Liquids* (Wiley, New York, 1954).
 - ¹¹ I. Santamaría-Holek, D. Reguera, and J.M. Rubí, Phys. Rev. E **63**, 051106 (2001).
 - ¹² K.F. Freed and M. Muthukumar, J. Chem. Phys. **69**, 2657 (1978).
 - ¹³ A. Laaksonen, V. Talanquer and D.W. Oxtoby, Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem. **46**, 489 (1995).
 - ¹⁴ K. F. Kelton, Solid State Phys. **45**, 75 (1991).
 - ¹⁵ K.F. Kelton, A.L. Greer, and C.V. Thompson, J. Chem. Phys. **79**, 6261 (1983).
 - ¹⁶ H. Lihavainen, Y. Viisanen, and M. Kulmala, J. Chem. Phys. **114**, 10031 (2001).
 - ¹⁷ K.Y. Min and W.I. Goldburg, Phys. Rev. Lett. **71**, 569 (1993).
 - ¹⁸ S. Butler and P. Harrowell, Phys. Rev. E **52**, 6424 (1995).
 - ¹⁹ G. Eder and H. Janeschitz-Kriegl, *Processing of Polymers*, Chapter 5, (VCH, Germany, 1997).